

CALIBRATION FOR HISTORICAL CROP ET ESTIMATES

Introduction and Issues

Thomas W. Ley, PhD, PE

Evapotranspiration Workshop

March 12, 2010

Ft Collins CO



Why Calibration?

- **Most historical consumptive use analyses based on long evaluation period**
- **Long periods of record available at many NOAA Coop Observer network (max/min/precip) stations**
- **Simple temperature-based methods**
- **Limited period of record at electronic weather stations (20 years or less)**

Why Calibration?

- **Published studies have generally shown the simpler temperature-based ET estimation methods are inaccurate, especially in arid and semi-arid climates**
- **Local calibration/verification is strongly recommended to obtain more accurate historical crop ET estimates**

Approach

- Compute calibration coefficients for some specific time interval during growing season (e.g., 10-days, monthly)

$$\text{Calibration coeff.} = \frac{\text{Measured Crop ET}}{\text{Crop ET by method being calibrated}}$$


- In the absence of measured ET (i.e., lysimeter), use crop ET based on ASCE Std Ref-ET Eqn

Measured Crop ET

▣ Lysimetry



▣ ASCE Std Reference ET



Approach

- ▣ **Compute average calibration coefficient values for overlapping period of record**
 - ▣ Monthly time step
- ▣ **Minimum 5-7 years of overlapping record**

Modified Blaney-Criddle Method (TR-21)

$$u = k_c (k_t) (t \times p) / 100$$

u = monthly consumptive use (inches)

k_t = climatic coefficient

$$= 0.173 * t - 0.314$$

k_c = crop growth stage coefficient


t = mean monthly air temperature (°F)

p = monthly percentage of annual daylight hours

Modified Blaney-Criddle Method

- ▣ Data required--mean monthly temperature
- ▣ 100's of max/min/precip stations in Colorado
- ▣ Developed for irrigation planning
- ▣ $k_t (t * p) / 100$ not representative of the impact of meteorological elements

Extraterrestrial Radiation & Percent of Daylight as a Percentage of Maximum



Modified Blaney-Criddle Method

- Crop factor, k_c , is not a crop coefficient in the same sense as the reference ET-crop coefficient approach
- Contains a meteorological component
 - location bias
 - values not geographically transferable (ASCE Manual 70, 1990; USDA-NRCS, 1993)
- Ignore k_c and calibrate just the factor:
$$k_t (t * p) / 100$$

1985 Hargreaves Method

$$ET_o = 0.0023(T_{\max} - T_{\min})^{0.5} (T_{\text{mean}} + 17.8) R_a$$

ET_o = grass reference ET (mm/day)

T_{\max} = maximum daily air temperature (°C)

T_{\min} = minimum daily air temperature (°C)

T_{mean} = mean daily air temperature

$$= (T_{\max} + T_{\min}) / 2$$

R_a = extraterrestrial radiation (mm/day)

$$R_a \text{ (mm/day)} = R_a \text{ (MJ/m}^2\text{/day)} / 2.45$$

1985 Hargreaves Method

- ▣ Originally developed in 1975
 - ▣ solar radiation and temperature data inputs
- ▣ Updated in 1982 and 1985
 - ▣ solar radiation estimated from extraterrestrial radiation, R_A
- ▣ Grass reference ET
- ▣ May be used to compute daily estimates, but more accurate over longer time steps: 10-days, monthly

1985 Hargreaves Method

- ▣ Simple, easy to use
- ▣ Data required—maximum and minimum air temperature
- ▣ Better predictive accuracy in arid climates than modified Blaney-Criddle
 - ▣ Max-min temperature difference
 - ▣ Extra-terrestrial radiation
- ▣ Underpredicts in windy or high advection conditions—requires local calibration

1985 Hargreaves Method

- ▣ Grass reference ET method
- ▣ Directly calibrate:

$$K = \frac{\text{ASCE std ref } ET_r}{\text{Hargreaves } ET_o}$$


- ▣ Use alfalfa reference crop coefficients

$$ET_c = K_{cr} (K * ET_o)$$

Calibration Precautions/Limitations

- **Extent of areal representation ?**
- **Weather station pairing**
 - **Compute calibration coefficients by pairing each NOAA station of interest with electronic weather station**
 - **Site bias at the NOAA site may not be properly captured**
 - **Bigger problem with SCS BC than 1985 Hargreaves**

Coefficients for one EWS-NOAA station pair generally not applicable at other NOAA stations when conditions at the NOAA sites are dissimilar



Ratio of Rocky Ford CoAgMet to NOAA station--ALFALFA

